UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,996	12/21/2004	Shaily Verma	PU020307	7008
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Thomson Licen	7590 04/13/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
Two Independence Way PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,996	VERMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MELODY MEHRPOUR	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2, 5-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal brief filed on 12/29/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Dwayne D. Bost/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 5-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundar et al. (US Publication 2003/0134638 A1) in view of Hurtta et al. (US Publication 2004/0228347 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 11-12, 17, Sundar teaches a method for registering a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) as a cellular network routing area (0054), comprising the steps of:

determining a location of a service request from a user within a cellular network which comprises a packet-based node (0055, 0056, 0058);

determining whether the location is in or near a WLAN access point (0066); while servicing the request using the WLAN such that interworking between the WLAN and the cellular network is provided (0065, 0057, 0067, 0097).

Sundar does not specifically teach a method wherein maintaining packet data protocol (PDP) context while servicing the request using the WLAN (during the handover) to a different network. However, Hurtta teaches a method wherein maintaining packet data protocol (PDP) context while servicing the request using external network that interworking with the cellular network is provide. In case of handover, the new SGSN may check the APN OI received from the old SGSN in the <u>PDP context</u> information. The

10/518,996

Art Unit: 2617

result will be <u>maintaining the PDP context</u> instead of sending it to the corresponding GGSN (S38), or deleting the <u>PDP context</u> instead of rejecting the request (S39) (0068). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Hurtta with Sundar, in order to provide battery conservation by reducing extra signaling, while seamlessly roaming between different networks.

Regarding claims 5, 14, Sundar teaches a method/system further comprising the step of setting a periodic routing area update timer value while in a WLAN coverage area to reduce signaling while a user is in the WLAN area (0063).

Regarding claim 6, Sundar teaches a method as recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of establishing packet switched signaling connection through the PDP context when existing the WLAN (0056, 0071).

Regarding claims 7, 10, Sundar teaches a method as recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of :

controlling the loading of cellular cells by shifting user traffic service to WLANs (0055, 0056).

Regarding claim 8, Sundar teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the interworking between the cellular network and the WLAN is provided by:

10/518,996

Art Unit: 2617

uniquely identifying the WLAN as a routing area of the cellular network; and once identified, setting a routing area update timer to reduce a number of routing area updates to the cellular network (0071, 0092).

Regarding claim 9, 15, Sundar fails to teach a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the step of maintaining the PDP context includes maintaining the PDP context to reduce handoff delay while re-entering the UMTS network. However, Huttra teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the step of maintaining the PDP context includes maintaining the PDP context to reduce handoff delay while re-entering the UMTS network (0068). In case of handover, the new SGSN may check the APN OI received from the old SGSN in the PDP context information. This OI is used according to FIG. 3 starting from step 33. The result will be maintaining the PDP context instead of sending it to the corresponding GGSN (S38), or deleting the PDP context instead of rejecting the request (S39) (0068). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Hurtta with Sundar, in order to provide battery conservation by reducing extra signaling, while seamlessly roaming between different networks.

Regarding claim 10, Sundar teaches a method as recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of enabling cellular service providers to control the loading of cells by shifting

users to WLANs by changing routing area identifiers of the users to that of a WLAN coverage area (0014, 0071, 0092).

Regarding claim 13, Sundar teaches a system as recited in claim 11, further comprising a unique routing area identifier, which identifies the WLAN in the cellular network (0095).

Regarding claim 16, Sundar teaches a system as recited in claim 11, wherein the cellular network includes a Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) (0098).

Regarding claim 18, Sundar teaches a system as recited in claim 11, wherein the cellular network learns if a user is in a WLAN coverage area via a routing area identifier (RAI) update message (0020-0027).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached (571) 272-7023.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Naghmeh Mehrpour/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

April 2, 2009